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Abstract

H$_2$S corrosion has been a known problem in oil/gas production and transport since 1940, which was enhanced after the discovery of huge oil and gas reserves in the pre-salt layer. The presence of H$_2$S in free water may cause severe corrosion problems in oil and gas pipes and is mainly influenced by temperature, H$_2$S content, fluid velocity, oil composition, pH and steel surface conditions. This work addresses the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) occurring on platinum in an aqueous solution containing dissolved H$_2$S at several pressures. A new setup that uses a low inertia rotating disc electrode (RDE) adapted in an autoclave is proposed. This setup allows obtaining polarizations curves and electro-hydrodynamic (EHD) impedances, the latter for the first time in pressured conditions.
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Introduction

H$_2$S corrosion has been a known problem in oil/gas production and transport since 1940, which was enhanced after the discovery of huge oil and gas reserves in the pre-salt layer, as mentioned by Costa (1) and Obuka (2). The presence of H$_2$S and free water may cause severe corrosion problems in oil and gas pipes and is mainly influenced by temperature, H$_2$S content, fluid velocity, oil composition, pH and steel surface conditions. The corrosion rate can change considerably due to a small variation of these parameters and may be strongly reduced if mackinawite (Fe$_{1+x}$S) and/or pyrite (FeS$_2$) precipitates on the steel surface, forming a dense and compact protective film, occurring easier at high temperature and pH, according to Obuka (2). However, localized corrosion with extremely high corrosion rates may occur when this corrosion product does not provide sufficient protection. Although it has been studied in details by Smith (3) and Nešić (4), the H$_2$S steel corrosion mechanism is not yet totally understood. Only recently, new ideas were proposed by Bolmer (5), Galvan-Martinez (6) and Kittel (7) about the cathodic reduction and about the anodic role of H$_2$S in the global corrosion process. The major limitation of the proposed mechanisms until now is the experimental approach used, in general restricted to steady state techniques. Indeed, although very important, adapting the transient techniques to an autoclave and working at high pressure is not an easy task. Thus, the objective of this study is to present new results using a new setup that allows working with a low inertia rotating disc electrode (RDE) adapted in an autoclave. This setup allows obtaining polarization curves and electro-hydrodynamic (EHD) impedances.
impedance, the latter for the first time in pressurized conditions. Indeed, although very useful for problems related to mass transport in the electrochemical field, EHD impedance has been used by few research groups, such as Remita (8) and Deslouis (9), but never at high pressures. The present work deals with hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) in aqueous solutions containing H₂S at different pressures.

**Methodology**

The Figure 1 presents the new setup used to work at high pressure with a RDE. The RDE was adapted to a miniclever magnetic stirrer head from Premex. This magnetic coupling can work up to 6 MPa and 200 °C of temperature. The setup was assembled in a 1L Hastelloy autoclave and it was coupled with a low inertia motor allowing EHD impedance measurements.

![Figure 1 – Schematic test setup](image)

All experiments in this work were performed using 0.01 mol/L K₂SO₄ solution as supporting electrolyte. Analytical grade reagents and double distilled water were used for preparing the solution. A 0.126 cm² platinum RDE embedded in polyetheretherketone (PEEK), abraded with #1500 grit paper, was used as working electrode (WE). A large platinum grid was used as counter electrode and a Hastelloy rod as reference (E = + 0,030 mV SSE). This value was constant during all long the experience time. All potentials, although measured versus Hastelloy, were later referred to saturated sulfate electrode (SSE). Electrochemical measurements were carried out at room temperature (25 °C ± 1 °C) using a Reference 600 Potentiostat from Gamry monitored by a personal computer. Potential scans were performed from the open circuit potential to around -1.4 V (SSE) at a rate of 1.00 mV/s to obtain polarization curves in the hydrogen evolution domain. All potential scans were done in triplicate in 1% H₂S/99% N₂ saturated conditions and in N₂ purged conditions (oxygen...
free). Gas saturation was obtained by purging the test solutions with 1% H₂S/99% N₂ or pure N₂ during at least 2 h. The pH of the N₂ purged solutions was adjusted with H₂SO₄ to pH = 4.00. H₂S tests were carried out at 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 3.0 MPa. N₂ tests were conducted at 0.1 and 3.0 MPa. All pH measurements were performed using a Thermo Scientific Orion Dual Star pH meter. For 0.1 MPa tests, a slight gas overpressure was maintained inside the autoclave to avoid oxygen contamination. According to simulations performed using OLI commercial software, pH and other parameters were calculated and are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1 – Parameters calculated by OLI software

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>[H₂S] in Liquid Phase (mol/L)</th>
<th>[HS⁻] in Liquid Phase (mol/L)</th>
<th>[S²⁻] in Liquid Phase (mol/L)</th>
<th>[H⁺] in Liquid Phase (mol/L)</th>
<th>Absolute Viscosity (mPa.s)</th>
<th>Relative Viscosity (mPa.s / mPa.s H₂O)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.36.10⁻³</td>
<td>1.40.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.82.10⁻¹³</td>
<td>9.66.10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.8936</td>
<td>1.00324</td>
<td>5.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.30.10⁻³</td>
<td>2.99.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.84.10⁻¹³</td>
<td>2.07.10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.8936</td>
<td>1.00324</td>
<td>4.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.64.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.99.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.85.10⁻¹³</td>
<td>2.77.10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.8936</td>
<td>1.00324</td>
<td>4.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.03.10⁻²</td>
<td>4.64.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.87.10⁻¹³</td>
<td>3.22.10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.8936</td>
<td>1.00324</td>
<td>4.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.24.10⁻²</td>
<td>5.11.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.89.10⁻¹³</td>
<td>3.55.10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.8936</td>
<td>1.00324</td>
<td>4.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.57.10⁻²</td>
<td>5.75.10⁻³</td>
<td>3.93.10⁻¹³</td>
<td>4.00.10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.8936</td>
<td>1.00324</td>
<td>4.470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potentiostatic EHD impedances were obtained by linear sinusoidal modulation of the angular velocity of the disk, which was rotated by a low inertia dc motor. The setup was constituted of an Ohmimetra potentiostat and a Solartron frequency response analyzer (FRA Solartron 1250) controlled by a personal computer. EHD measurements were performed from 70 Hz up to 10 mHz with an increment of 7 points/decade at the same temperature and pressures of the potentiodynamic experiments aforementioned.

### Results and discussion

Before starting the experimental tests, it was necessary to certify the experimental setup used. The setup shown in Figure 1 was new and had to be verified using a classical system involving a well-known electrochemical reaction, carried out using a solution of potassium ferricyanide-ferrocyanide 0.005 mol/L with 1.00 mol/L KCl as supporting electrolyte and at pressures of 0.1 and 3.0 MPa of pure N₂. EHD impedance results were compared with theoretical curves obtained by Tribollet and Newman (10). The results are shown in Figure 2.
Figure 2 – Classical results for the reduction of Fe(CN)$_6^{3-}$ to Fe(CN)$_6^{4-}$ in 1 mol/L KCl, attesting the new experimental setup for 0.1 or 3.0 MPa of N$_2$. (a) Polarization curves, (b) Levich plot, (c) phase shift vs. dimensionless frequency and (d) normalized amplitude vs. dimensionless frequency. Theoretical data calculated using $F = 96485.33$ C/mol, $C(\infty) = 0.005$ mol/L, $Sc = 1200$, $D = 0.765 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$/s, $\nu = 0.0094$ cm$^2$/s.

As expected, the results were the same at high and atmospheric pressures and so, only the 0.1 MPa results are presented. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the stationary results and Figures 2(c) and 2(d) present EHD impedance results. In both cases, the results obtained were consistent with literature data and the theoretical curves fitted the experimental results.

After certifying that the experimental setup is calibrated, it was used a system using a neutral gas, such as N$_2$. The Figure 3 presents the cathodic polarization curves obtained in 0.01 mol/L K$_2$SO$_4$ at two N$_2$ pressures and pH = 4.00.

Figure 3 – Polarization curves obtained using 0.01 mol/L K$_2$SO$_4$ and two N$_2$ pressures

As it can be seen, the pressure does not influence the results. At very small polarization, the curves show a region fully controlled by charge transfer. With increasing polarization a second region appears where the electrochemical process is controlled by both mass transport and charge transfer. Finally, at high polarization a current plateau is detected. In this third region, the value of current density ($I_L$) is entirely controlled by mass transport.

The Figure 4(a) shows the plot of $I_L$ vs. $\Omega^{1/2}$, where $\Omega$ is the disk rotation speed. A linear behavior passing through the origin is seen while the Figure 4(b) shows the Koutecký-Levich plots and, as expected, a linear behavior passing through the origin is also observed.
Figure 4 – Hydrogen cathodic current limit plots for 0.1 and 3.0 MPa of N₂ (pH = 4.00). Theoretical data calculated using $F = 96485.33$ C/mol, $C(\infty) = 1.39 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L, $D = 9.3 \times 10^{-5}$ cm²/s, $\nu = 0.01$ cm²/s

Total pressure did not influence the kinetics of hydrogen cathodic reaction and the theoretical equations were used to fit the experimental results. In H₂S pressured system, the Figure 5 presents the polarization curves at different H₂S pressures.
Analyzing the Figure 5, it is evident that increasing pressure significantly increases the current, showing the influence of H₂S pressure on the electrochemical system. However, the behavior observed in these curves are different that observed in Figure 3, because it is not possible to distinguish clearly a region entirely controlled by mass transport. For the H₂S pressured system, observes that with increasing pressure there are clearly regions controlled by charge transfer and mixed control, but for rotations between 15.08 and 33.93 rad/s, there is no clear distinction of a current plateau, can be described as a "pseudo plateau", that shows an exclusive control by mass transfer, so that for high values of cathodic overpotentials, the water reduction reaction is also observed. For rotations above 41.89 rad/s, it is not possible even to distinguish the water reduction reaction, since the region by charge transfer becomes preponderant. According with Tribollet (11), H₂S dissociation it is extremely fast, so that is possible to suggest that H⁺ diffusion from bulk solution to the electrode surface is high. In the work of Nordsveen (12), it was estimated that the reaction rate coefficient of H⁺ formation, in H₂S medium, is equal to 10⁴ s⁻¹.

Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the plots of Iₗ vs. Ω¹/² and Iₗ⁻¹ vs. Ω⁻¹/² referring to the plateau currents seen in Figure 5 between 15.08 and 41.89 rad/s.

Both curves could be considered as having a linear behavior not passing through the origin. Iₗ = I₀ + AΩ¹/² for Figure 6(a) or Iₗ⁻¹ = I∞⁻¹ + BΩ⁻¹/² for Figure 6(b) and the parameters I₀ and A as well as I∞ and B can be obtained by fitting. However, actually, it is evident that Figures 6(a) and 6(b) cannot simultaneously present a linear behavior. In the literature, Schmitt and Rothmann (13) considered Iₗ linear with Ω¹/² and interpreted AΩ¹/² as the transport of the electroactive species and, I₀, which is independent of diffusion, as being due to a chemical
reaction in the bulk of the solution. However, the Koutecký-Levich equation, or $I_c^{-1}$ linear with $\Omega^{-1/2}$, was also proposed for the case of a chemical-electrochemical (CE) mechanism.

EHD impedance results for several H$_2$S pressures and for 0.1 MPa of N$_2$ are presented in Figures 7, 8 and 9.

![Graph 1](image1)

**Figure 7** – Comparison between experimental data of several pressures. Normalized amplitude vs. dimensionless frequency (left) and phase angle vs. dimensionless frequency (right) for 120 rpm.

![Graph 2](image2)

**Figure 8** – Comparison between experimental data of several pressures. Normalized amplitude vs. dimensionless frequency (left) and phase angle vs. dimensionless frequency (right) for 240 rpm.
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**Figure 9** – Comparison between experimental data of several pressures. Normalized amplitude vs. dimensionless frequency (left) and phase angle vs. dimensionless frequency (right) for 360 rpm.

Qualitatively the same result was obtained in the presence of N$_2$ and H$_2$S, although in the presence of the latter, the curves were translated for small values of $p$, the dimensionless frequency. Essentially, EHD impedance measures the fluctuation of the kinetic parameters.
associated with hydrodynamic and mass transport; i.e., parameters A and B. The presence of two time constants in Figures 7, 8 and 9 when H₂S is present can be associated with mass transport (parameters A and B in the above equations) coupled with a chemical reaction (parameter I₀), according to Tribollet (9) and Treimer (14). However, only an analytical model can define the specific coupling process. In this sense, the model suggested by Remita (8) proposing a buffer effect for H₂S could be envisaged. Nevertheless, it would be necessary to introduce the pressure dependence in this model and better describe H₂S pressure dependence in the chemical-electrochemical coupling reactions.

Conclusions

A new experimental setup was validated for working in a high pressure system adapted to obtain steady state and transient results. The setup was used to study hydrogen reaction in the presence of H₂S at different pressures. Non linear behavior was found for I_l vs. Ω^{1/2} and I_l^{-1} vs. Ω^{1/2}. EHD impedances results were compatible with a chemical-electrochemical process and the development of an analytical model for different H₂S pressures is still needed.
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